UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

	DICTITION OF TRINZONAL
UN	ITED STATES OF AMERICA
	v. Delad-Lopez ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Mario Perez-Cantu Case Number: 11-02412M-001
and was repre	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on March 3, 2011. Defendant was presented by counsel. I conclude by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention ant pending trial in this case.
	FINDINGS OF FACT conderance of the evidence that:
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.
⊠	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs
	Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum of years imprisonment.
The Cat the time of t	ourt incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court he hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
1.	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.
2.	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
	DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION
a corrections fa appeal. The de of the United S	efendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in acility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending efendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court tates or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the ne United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
	APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
	PRDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District
Services suffic	URTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial iently in advance of the hearing before the District Count to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and potential third party custodian.
DATE: M	
DATE: <u>Marc</u>	JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge